



Published in final edited form as:

*J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2008 May ; 21(5): 279–287. doi:10.1080/14767050802034545.

## THE CHANGE IN CONCENTRATIONS OF ANGIOGENIC AND ANTI-ANGIOGENIC FACTORS IN MATERNAL PLASMA BETWEEN THE FIRST AND SECOND TRIMESTERS IN RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE SUBSEQUENT DEVELOPMENT OF PREECLAMPSIA AND SGA

Offer Erez, MD<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Romero, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Jimmy Espinoza, MD<sup>1,3</sup>, Wenjiang Fu, PhD<sup>4</sup>, David Todem, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Juan Pedro Kusanovic, MD<sup>1,3</sup>, Francesca Gotsch, MD<sup>1</sup>, Samuel Edwin, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Jyh Kae Nien, MD<sup>5</sup>, Tinnakorn Chaiworapongsa, MD<sup>1</sup>, Pooja Mittal, MD<sup>1,3</sup>, Shali Mazaki-Tovi, MD<sup>1,3</sup>, Nandor Gabor Than, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Ricardo Gomez, MD<sup>5</sup>, and Sonia Hassan, MD<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Perinatology Research Branch, *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH, DHHS, Bethesda, Maryland and Detroit, Michigan, USA

<sup>2</sup>Center for Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wayne State University/Hutzel Hospital, Detroit, Michigan, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA

<sup>5</sup>Center for Perinatal Diagnosis and Research (CEDIP), Hospital Dr. Sótero del Río, P. Universidad Católica de Chile, Puente Alto, Chile

### Abstract

**Introduction**—An imbalance between angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors has been proposed as central to the pathophysiology of preeclampsia (PE). Indeed, patients with PE and those delivering small-for-gestational age (SGA) neonates have higher plasma concentrations of soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (sVEGFR-1) and the soluble form of endoglin (s-Eng), as well as lower plasma concentrations of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and placental growth factor (PIGF) than do patients with normal pregnancies. Of note, this imbalance has been observed before the clinical presentation of PE or the delivery of an SGA neonate. The objective of this study was to determine if changes in the profile of angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors in maternal plasma between the first and second trimesters are associated with a high risk for the subsequent development of preeclampsia and/or delivery of an SGA neonate.

**Methods**—This longitudinal case-control study included 402 singleton pregnancies in the following groups: 1) normal pregnancies with appropriate for gestational age (AGA) neonates (n=201); 2) patients who delivered an SGA neonate (n=145); and 3) patients who developed PE (n=56). Maternal plasma samples were obtained at the time of each prenatal visit, scheduled at 4-week intervals from the first or early second trimester until delivery. In this study, we included two samples per patient: 1) first sample obtained between 6 and 15 weeks of gestation (“first trimester” sample); and 2) second

sample obtained between 20 and 25 weeks of gestation (“second trimester” sample). Plasma concentrations of s-Eng, sVEGFR-1 and PIGF were determined by specific and sensitive immunoassays. Changes in the maternal plasma concentrations of these angiogenesis-related factors were compared among normal patients and those destined to develop PE or deliver an SGA neonate while adjusting for maternal age, nulliparity and body mass index (BMI). General linear models and polytomous logistic regression models were used to relate the analyte concentrations, ratios, and product to the subsequent development of delivery of an SGA neonate.

**Results**—1) An increase in the maternal plasma concentration of s-Eng between the first and second trimesters conferred risk for the development of preterm PE and SGA (OR 14.9, 95% CI 4.9-45.0, and OR 2.9, 95% CI 1.5-5.6, respectively); 2) An increase in the maternal plasma concentration of sVEGFR-1 between the first and second trimester conferred risk for the development of preterm PE (OR 3.9, 95% CI 1.2-12.6); 3) A subnormal increase in maternal plasma PIGF concentration between the first and the second trimester was a risk factor for the subsequent development of preterm and term PE (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.2-15.5, and OR 2.7, 95% CI 1.2-5.9, respectively); 4) In addition, the combination of the three analytes into a pro-angiogenic versus anti-angiogenic ratio [PIGF/(sEng x VEGFR-1)] conferred risk for the subsequent development of preterm preeclampsia (OR 3.7, 95% CI 1.1-12.1); 5) Importantly, patients with a high change in the s-Eng x sVEGFR-1 product had an OR of 10.38 (95% CI 3.18-33.84) for the development of preterm PE and 1.62 (95% CI 1.01-2.60) for the development of SGA.

**Conclusion**—Changes in the maternal plasma concentrations of s-Eng, sVEGFR-1, PIGF or their ratios between the first and second trimesters of pregnancy confer an increased risk to deliver a SGA neonate and/or develop PE.

### Keywords

SGA; longitudinal; PIGF; endoglin; sVEGFR-1

## INTRODUCTION

An “anti-angiogenic state” has been implicated as a mechanism of disease in preeclampsia (PE) [1-23], HELLP syndrome [23], and for delivery of a small for gestational age (SGA) neonate [11,23-29]. This state appears to result from an imbalance in the production and circulating concentrations of angiogenic factors such as placental growth factor (PIGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and anti-angiogenic factors such as soluble VEGF receptor-1 (sVEGFR-1) and soluble endoglin (s-Eng). Elevated serum and plasma concentrations of sVEGFR-1 and s-Eng have been observed after the diagnosis of preeclampsia [1-3,7-9,<sup>11, 12, 15, 18,19,21-23</sup>] and before the recognition of clinical disease [4-6,10,13,<sup>14, 16, 17,20,30-32</sup>].

A natural consequence of the observations that changes in the serum/plasma concentrations of angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors can be detected prior to the clinical recognition of the disease is that assays for such factors may be useful in the risk assessment for PE. Indeed, several studies have addressed this issue using a single analyte or a combination of analytes with the results of uterine artery Doppler velocimetry [24,33-36], as well as clinical risk factors. These studies have largely focused on one determination of the plasma/serum concentrations of angiogenic and/or anti-angiogenic factors. Recently, it has been proposed that serial determinations of the concentrations of sVEGFR-1 [37,38], PIGF [37], and s-Eng [38] are more informative in assessing the risk for PE than are single measurements in the first or second trimesters. This is plausible because PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 are produced by the trophoblast [23,39-44] and, therefore, maternal plasma concentrations can change with placental development from the first to the second trimester.

The purpose of this study was to determine if the changes between the first and second trimesters in the maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF, s-Eng, sVEGFR-1, or measures combining these analytes are risk factors for the development of PE. Because an anti-angiogenic state has also been postulated to exist in mothers delivering SGA neonates [11, 23-29], we have also determined the relationship between serial measures of these factors and the delivery of an SGA neonate.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Study design

This retrospective longitudinal case-control study was designed to include patients in the following groups: 1) normal pregnancies; 2) patients who delivered an SGA neonate; and 3) patients who developed PE. Patients were considered to have a normal pregnancy if they did not have any obstetrical, medical, or surgical complication and had a term delivery (37-42 weeks) of a normal neonate with a birthweight appropriate for gestational age. SGA was defined as a birth weight below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile for gestational age [45]. Preeclampsia was diagnosed in the presence of systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  mm Hg on at least two occasions, 4 hours to 1 week apart, and proteinuria  $\geq 300$  mg in a 24 hours urine collection, or one dipstick with  $\geq 2+$  [46,47]. Patients with PE were classified as preterm ( $< 37$  weeks) or term ( $\geq 37$  weeks), according to the gestational age at which PE was diagnosed.

Patients included in this study were enrolled in a longitudinal study whose aim was to identify biochemical factors for the prediction of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Plasma samples were obtained at the time of each prenatal visit, scheduled at 4-weeks intervals from the first or early second trimester until delivery. In this study, we included two samples per patient. The first sample was obtained between 6 and 15 weeks of gestation (“first trimester” sample) and the second between 20 and 25 weeks of gestation (“second trimester” sample). All pregnant women signed a consent form approved by the Human Investigation Committee of Sotero del Rio Hospital, Santiago, Chile and the IRB of the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

Each case of PE or SGA was matched by maternal age, BMI and parity with a patient who had a normal pregnancy outcome. Matching by maternal age was conducted within each of the following age groups: 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, and 45-49 years. Matching for BMI was within 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and nulliparous or multiparous for parity. The rationale for the matching was that high and low maternal age, nulliparity and high BMI are risk factors for preeclampsia [48-56].

### Sample collection and human PIGF, soluble endoglin (s-Eng), and sVEGFR-1 immunoassays

Blood samples were collected into tubes containing EDTA. The samples were centrifuged and stored at -70°C. Laboratory personnel were blinded to clinical diagnosis. Maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 were determined by sensitive and specific immunoassays (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). All three immunoassays utilized a sandwich enzyme immunoassay technique and had been validated for plasma determinations of the analytes. The sensitivity, inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation for each analyte obtained in our laboratory have been previously reported [57].

### Statistical Analysis

Measures of s-Eng, PIGF, and sVEGFR-1 were compared among women who subsequently had a normal pregnancy, those who developed term and preterm PE, and those who delivered

SGA neonates. Before adjusting for confounding variables, comparisons among groups were performed using Kruskal-Wallis, with Mann-Whitney U test for comparisons between groups.

The following measures were included for both the first and second trimesters, individually: 1) concentrations of s-Eng, PIGF, and sVEGFR-1; 2) the ratio between the maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF and s-Eng (PIGF/s-Eng); 3) the ratio between the maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF and sVEGFR-1 (PIGF/sVEGFR-1); 4) the product of the maternal plasma concentrations of s-Eng and sVEGFR-1 (s-Eng x sVEGFR-1); and 5) the ratio between the maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF and the product of s-Eng and sVEGFR-1 [PIGF/(s-Eng x sVEGFR-1)].

General linear models were used to identify significant differences among groups in single analytes and their ratios and products in the first and the second trimesters and the changes between the two trimesters. These analyses were adjusted for maternal age, BMI and nulliparity because these variables were not matched between patients who developed PE and those who delivered an SGA neonate. Bonferroni correction was used to adjust for multiple comparisons. Odds ratios for each single analyte, ratio or product as continuous variables were calculated with polytomous logistic regression adjusting for the same confounding factors mentioned above.

Slopes of PIGF, s-Eng, sVEGFR-1, PIGF/s-Eng, PIGF/sVEGFR-1, s-Eng x sVEGFR-1 and PIGF/(s-Eng x sVEGFR-1) were computed between the first and second trimesters (the difference between the concentrations in the first and second trimesters divided by the number of weeks between the measurements). The slopes of s-Eng, sVEGFR-1, and s-Eng x sVEGFR-1 between the first and second trimesters were dichotomized by direction of change for each patient (increase: positive or no change/decrease: negative). Because the slopes of PIGF, PIGF/s-Eng, PIGF/sVEGFR-1 and PIGF/(s-Eng x sVEGFR-1) were always greater than or equal to zero, the slopes were dichotomized at the median for the normal pregnancy group (above or below the median).

Odds ratios for the development of term PE, preterm PE, and SGA were calculated based on: 1) positive or negative values of slope for s-Eng, sVEGFR-1, and s-Eng x sVEGFR-1; and 2) values of slopes that were above or below the median for PIGF, PIGF/s-Eng, PIGF/sVEGFR-1 and PIGF/(s-Eng x sVEGFR-1) using polytomous logistic regression analysis, adjusting for maternal age, BMI and nulliparity.

SAS (version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and SPSS (version 14.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used for analysis. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

## RESULTS

### Patient population

This study included a total of 402 singleton pregnancies in the following groups: 1) normal pregnancies (n=201); 2) patients who delivered SGA neonates (n=145); 3) patients who developed term PE (n=39); and 4) patients who developed preterm PE (n=17). The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study groups are displayed in Table I. Patients with preterm PE had a significantly higher BMI than did patients with SGA (p=0.0069).

### Maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 in the first or second trimester (and their ratios and product) in patients who subsequently had a normal pregnancy, preeclampsia, or delivered an SGA neonate

Median maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 in the first and second trimesters are presented in Table II.

In the first trimester, patients destined to develop preterm or term PE had a lower median maternal plasma concentration of PIGF than those with normal pregnancies ( $p=0.002$  and  $p=0.003$ , respectively). In addition, patients destined to develop preterm PE had a lower median maternal plasma PIGF concentration than those who delivered SGA neonates ( $p=0.02$ ). In the second trimester, patients destined to develop preterm PE had a lower median maternal plasma concentration of PIGF than patients who had a normal pregnancy ( $p<0.0001$ ), those destined to develop term PE ( $p=0.002$ ), and women who delivered SGA neonates ( $p<0.0001$ ). Moreover, women who developed term PE had lower plasma PIGF concentrations than those who had normal pregnancies ( $p=0.03$ ) (see Table II).

Maternal plasma s-Eng concentrations in the second trimester were higher in patients destined to develop preterm PE than in those in women with normal pregnancies ( $p<0.0001$ ), patients destined to develop term PE ( $p=0.004$ ), and those who delivered SGA neonates ( $p=0.001$ ). In addition, patients destined to develop term PE had a higher median s-Eng maternal plasma concentration than those who had a normal pregnancy ( $p=0.028$ ). No significant differences were found among groups in the plasma concentration of s-Eng in the first trimester (see Table II).

The first trimester median plasma concentration of sVEGFR-1 was significantly lower in patients who developed preterm and term PE than those with normal pregnancies ( $p=0.025$  and  $p=0.020$ , respectively). No significant differences were found among groups in plasma sVEGFR-1 concentration in the second trimester (see Table II).

#### **General linear model of the maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 in the first or second trimester in patients who subsequently had a normal pregnancy, preeclampsia, or delivered an SGA neonate**

After adjusting for confounding variables (maternal age, BMI, and nulliparity), the mean plasma concentration of PIGF was significantly different among diagnosis groups in the first and second trimesters ( $p=0.005$  and  $p=0.0002$ , respectively). The mean plasma concentration of s-Eng was significantly different among diagnosis groups only in the second trimester ( $p<0.0001$ ). In contrast, the mean plasma concentration of sVEGFR-1 was not significantly different among diagnosis groups in either the first or second trimesters. The PIGF/s-Eng ratio in the second trimester was significantly different among diagnosis groups ( $p<0.0001$ ). The PIGF/sVEGFR-1 ratio and the s-Eng x sVEGFR-1 product were not significantly different among diagnosis groups in either the first or the second trimester. The odd ratios generated by the logistic regression model were consistent with the results of the general linear model and are presented in Table III.

#### **Changes in the maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 (and their ratios and product) between the first and second trimesters and the subsequent development of preeclampsia and SGA**

**PIGF slope**—Patients with a low increase in the maternal plasma PIGF concentration between the first and second trimesters (slope below than the median for patients with normal pregnancies) had an increased risk for the subsequent development of preterm and term PE, (OR 4.28, 95% CI 1.18-15.45; OR 2.7, 95% CI 1.24-5.89, respectively) but not SGA (Table IV).

**s-Eng direction of change between the first and second trimester**—We have previously demonstrated that the maternal plasma concentration of s-Eng decreases from the first to the second trimester in normal pregnancy [57]. Patients with an increase in s-Eng plasma concentration between the first and second trimesters (in comparison to those with no change or a decrease in the plasma concentration of s-Eng) had an increased risk for the subsequent

development of preterm PE (OR 14.92, 95% CI 4.94-45.08) or the delivery of an SGA neonate (OR 2.88, 95% CI 1.48-5.58) (Table IV).

**sVEGFR-1 direction of change between the first and second trimesters**—Patients with an increase in sVEGFR-1 maternal plasma concentrations between the first and second trimesters (in comparison to those with no change or a decrease in the concentration of sVEGFR-1) had an increased risk for the development of preterm PE (OR 3.90, 95% CI 1.21-12.59) (Table IV)

**Slopes of angiogenic to anti-angiogenic factor ratios and the product of s-Eng x sVEGFR-1**—Patients with a low change in the PIGF/s-Eng ratio (below the median slope for patients with normal pregnancies) had a higher risk for the development of preterm PE (OR 7.68, 95% CI 1.7-34.74) and term PE (OR 2.46, 95% CI 1.15-5.26), but not SGA. A low change in the PIGF/sVEGFR-1 ratio (below the median slope for patients with normal pregnancies) conferred a higher risk only for the development of preterm PE (OR 3.28, 95% CI 1.02-10.59). Patients with a low change in the PIGF/(s-Eng x sVEGFR-1) ratio also had an increased risk for the development of preterm PE (OR 3.71, 95% CI 1.14-12.11). Interestingly, a high change in the s-Eng x sVEGFR-1 product (above the median slope for patients with normal pregnancies) conferred an odds ratio of 10.38 (95% CI 3.18-33.84) for the development of preterm PE and 1.62 (95% CI 1.01-2.60) for the development of SGA (Table V).

**Combination of PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 slopes**—The combination of a PIGF slope below the median and positive s-Eng and sVEGFR-1 slopes was found in 0.99% (2/201) of patients with normal pregnancies and in 58.8% (10/17) of patients who developed preterm PE ( $p < 0.0001$ ). In contrast, the combination of a positive PIGF slope and negative s-Eng and sVEGFR-1 slopes was found in 23.4% (47/201) of patients with normal pregnancies and only in 5.9% (1/17) of patients who developed preterm PE ( $p = 0.13$ ).

## DISCUSSION

### Principal findings of the study

1) The profile of maternal plasma concentrations of angiogenic (PIGF) and anti-angiogenic factors (s-Eng and sVEGFR-1) between the first and second trimesters is significantly different among patients who subsequently had a normal pregnancy and those destined to develop PE or to deliver SGA neonates. 2) An increase in the maternal plasma concentration of s-Eng and sVEGFR-1 between the first and second trimester conferred risk for the development of preterm PE. 3) Moreover, a subnormal increase in maternal plasma PIGF between the first and the second trimesters was a risk factor for the subsequent development of preterm and term PE. 4) Importantly, the combination of the three analytes into a pro-angiogenic versus anti-angiogenic ratio [PIGF/(s-Eng x VEGFR-1)] conferred risk for the subsequent development of preterm PE. 5) A patient with a subnormal increase of PIGF, and increases of s-Eng and sVEGFR-1 from the first to the second trimester was at substantial risk for the development of preterm and term PE, and SGA.

### The profile of placental growth factor concentration in normal pregnancy, preeclampsia, and SGA

Placental growth factor is detectable in the plasma of most pregnant women from 9-11 weeks of gestation [57]. Thereafter, the concentration increases, reaching a peak at approximately 33 weeks, and subsequently declines as term approaches. The results of the current study indicate that patients with a subnormal increase in maternal plasma PIGF concentrations between the first and second trimesters of pregnancy are at increased risk for the development of PE

(preterm PE and term PE). In this study, no patients had concentrations of PIGF that decreased between the first and second trimesters.

### **The profile of soluble endoglin concentration in normal pregnancy, PE, and SGA**

Soluble endoglin has been detected in the plasma of normal pregnant women in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy. Its plasma concentrations decrease from the first to second trimester, but subsequently increase mildly [57]. The results of the present study indicate that a subset of patients destined to develop preterm PE and SGA have increasing concentrations of s-Eng between the first and second trimesters of pregnancy. Thus, serial evaluation of this anti-angiogenic factor identifies a subgroup at risk for adverse pregnancy outcome.

### **The profile of soluble VEGFR-1 concentration in normal pregnancy, preeclampsia, and SGA**

In normal pregnancy, maternal plasma concentrations of sVEGFR-1 remain largely unchanged between the first and second trimesters, and increase modestly thereafter. We found that an increase in sVEGFR-1 between the first and second trimesters conferred an increased risk for the development of preterm PE.

### **The balance between an angiogenic factor (PIGF) and two anti-angiogenic factors (s-Eng and sVEGFR-1) in normal pregnancy, PE, and SGA**

PE and SGA are often associated with a profile of angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors which favors anti-angiogenesis (low PIGF, high s-Eng, high sVEGFR-1). Longitudinal studies have demonstrated that these changes precede the development of clinical disease or delivery of an SGA neonate [12,14,17,21,57]. The observations reported herein indicate that changes in the plasma concentrations of these factors can be detected between the first and second trimesters of pregnancy, and that a stereotypic pattern confers risk for PE and SGA. For example, most patients with a subnormal increase in PIGF and increasing concentrations of s-Eng and sVEGFR-1 (10 out of 17 patients) developed preterm PE.

### **Strengths and limitations of this study**

The strength of the current study is that this is the first study to have examined one angiogenic factor (PIGF) and two anti-angiogenic factors (s-Eng and sVEGFR-1) in normal pregnancies as well as two complications of pregnancy (PE and SGA). Most studies to date have focused on PE, and have not considered that SGA is also an anti-angiogenic state. In addition, we have adjusted for the effects of confounding factors such as parity, BMI, and maternal age. Limitations include the study design (nested case-control), which is susceptible to biases, and the sample size. A large cohort study is required to assess the likelihood ratios for the development of PE and SGA based on the angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors studied herein.

### **Conclusion**

Serial determinations of PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 between the first and second trimesters are of value in the risk assessment for PE and SGA.

### **Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH, DHHS.

## Reference List

1. Lyall F, Greer IA, Boswell F, Fleming R. Suppression of serum vascular endothelial growth factor immunoreactivity in normal pregnancy and in preeclampsia. *Br.J.Obstet.Gynaecol* 1997;104:223–228. [PubMed: 9070144]
2. Kupferminc MJ, Daniel Y, Englender T, Baram A, Many A, Jaffa AJ, Gull I, Lessing JB. Vascular endothelial growth factor is increased in patients with preeclampsia. *Am.J.Reprod.Immunol* 1997;38:302–306. [PubMed: 9352019]
3. Torry DS, Wang HS, Wang TH, Caudle MR, Torry RJ. Preeclampsia is associated with reduced serum levels of placenta growth factor. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;179:1539–1544. [PubMed: 9855593]
4. Tidwell SC, Ho HN, Chiu WH, Torry RJ, Torry DS. Low maternal serum levels of placenta growth factor as an antecedent of clinical preeclampsia. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 2001;184:1267–1272. [PubMed: 11349200]
5. Tjoa ML, van Vugt JM, Mulders MA, Schutgens RB, Oudejans CB, van Wijk IJ. Plasma placenta growth factor levels in midtrimester pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001;98:600–607. [PubMed: 11576575]
6. Chappell LC, Seed PT, Briley A, Kelly FJ, Hunt BJ, Charnock-Jones DS, Mallet AI, Poston L. A longitudinal study of biochemical variables in women at risk of preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002;187:127–136. [PubMed: 12114900]
7. Zhou Y, McMaster M, Woo K, Janatpour M, Perry J, Karpanen T, Alitalo K, Damsky C, Fisher SJ. Vascular endothelial growth factor ligands and receptors that regulate human cytotrophoblast survival are dysregulated in severe preeclampsia and hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets syndrome. *Am.J.Pathol* 2002;160:1405–1423. [PubMed: 11943725]
8. Koga K, Osuga Y, Yoshino O, Hirota Y, Ruimeng X, Hirata T, Takeda S, Yano T, Tsutsumi O, Taketani Y. Elevated serum soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 (sVEGFR-1) levels in women with preeclampsia. *J Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* 2003;88:2348–2351. [PubMed: 12727995]
9. Maynard SE, Min JY, Merchan J, Lim KH, Li J, Mondal S, Libermann TA, Morgan JP, Sellke FW, Stillman IE, et al. Excess placental soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt1) may contribute to endothelial dysfunction, hypertension, and proteinuria in preeclampsia. *J.Clin.Invest* 2003;111:649–658. [PubMed: 12618519]
10. Taylor RN, Grimwood J, Taylor RS, McMaster MT, Fisher SJ, North RA. Longitudinal serum concentrations of placental growth factor: evidence for abnormal placental angiogenesis in pathologic pregnancies. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 2003;188:177–182. [PubMed: 12548214]
11. Tsatsaris V, Goffin F, Munaut C, Brichant JF, Pignon MR, Noel A, Schaaps JP, Cabrol D, Franckne F, Foidart JM. Overexpression of the soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor in preeclamptic patients: pathophysiological consequences. *J Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* 2003;88:5555–5563. [PubMed: 14602804]
12. Chaiworapongsa T, Romero R, Espinoza J, Bujold E, Mee KY, Goncalves LF, Gomez R, Edwin S, Young Investigator Award. Evidence supporting a role for blockade of the vascular endothelial growth factor system in the pathophysiology of preeclampsia. *Am.J Obstet Gynecol* 2004;190:1541–1547. [PubMed: 15284729]
13. Krauss T, Pauer HU, Augustin HG. Prospective analysis of placenta growth factor (PlGF) concentrations in the plasma of women with normal pregnancy and pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia. *Hypertens.Pregnancy* 2004;23:101–111. [PubMed: 15117604]
14. Levine RJ, Maynard SE, Qian C, Lim KH, England LJ, Yu KF, Schisterman EF, Thadhani R, Sachs BP, Epstein FH, et al. Circulating angiogenic factors and the risk of preeclampsia. *N.Engl.J Med* 2004;350:672–683. [PubMed: 14764923]
15. McKeeman GC, Ardill JE, Caldwell CM, Hunter AJ, McClure N. Soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (sFlt-1) is increased throughout gestation in patients who have preeclampsia develop. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004;191:1240–1246. [PubMed: 15507947]
16. Thadhani R, Mutter WP, Wolf M, Levine RJ, Taylor RN, Sukhatme VP, Ecker J, Karumanchi SA. First trimester placental growth factor and soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 and risk for preeclampsia. *J Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* 2004;89:770–775. [PubMed: 14764795]

17. Chaiworapongsa T, Romero R, Kim YM, Kim GJ, Kim MR, Espinoza J, Bujold E, Goncalves L, Gomez R, Edwin S, et al. Plasma soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 concentration is elevated prior to the clinical diagnosis of pre-eclampsia. *J Matern.Fetal Neonatal Med* 2005;17:3–18. [PubMed: 15804781]
18. Shibata E, Rajakumar A, Powers RW, Larkin RW, Gilmour C, Bodnar LM, Crombleholme WR, Ness RB, Roberts JM, Hubel CA. Soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 is increased in preeclampsia but not in normotensive pregnancies with small-for-gestational-age neonates: relationship to circulating placental growth factor. *J Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* 2005;90:4895–4903. [PubMed: 15886253]
19. Staff AC, Braekke K, Harsem NK, Lyberg T, Holthe MR. Circulating concentrations of sFlt1 (soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1) in fetal and maternal serum during pre-eclampsia. *Eur.J Obstet Gynecol Reprod.Biol* 2005;122:33–39. [PubMed: 15935542]
20. Levine RJ, Thadhani R, Qian C, Lam C, Lim KH, Yu KF, Blink AL, Sachs BP, Epstein FH, Sibai BM, et al. Urinary placental growth factor and risk of preeclampsia. *JAMA* 2005;293:77–85. [PubMed: 15632339]
21. Levine RJ, Lam C, Qian C, Yu KF, Maynard SE, Sachs BP, Sibai BM, Epstein FH, Romero R, Thadhani R, et al. Soluble endoglin and other circulating antiangiogenic factors in preeclampsia. *N.Engl.J Med* 2006;355:992–1005. [PubMed: 16957146]
22. Robinson CJ, Johnson DD, Chang EY, Armstrong DM, Wang W. Evaluation of placenta growth factor and soluble Fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 receptor levels in mild and severe preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2006;195:255–259. [PubMed: 16813756]
23. Venkatesha S, Toporsian M, Lam C, Hanai J, Mammoto T, Kim YM, Bdolah Y, Lim KH, Yuan HT, Libermann TA, et al. Soluble endoglin contributes to the pathogenesis of preeclampsia. *Nat.Med* 2006;12:642–649. [PubMed: 16751767]
24. Crispi F, Dominguez C, Llorba E, Martin-Gallan P, Cabero L, Gratacos E. Placental angiogenic growth factors and uterine artery Doppler findings for characterization of different subsets in preeclampsia and in isolated intrauterine growth restriction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2006;195:201–207. [PubMed: 16545329]
25. Boutsikou T, Malamitsi-Puchner A, Economou E, Boutsikou M, Puchner KP, Hassiakos D. Soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 in intrauterine growth restricted fetuses and neonates. *Early Hum.Dev* 2006;82:235–239. [PubMed: 16337100]
26. Girardi G, Yarilin D, Thurman JM, Holers VM, Salmon JE. Complement activation induces dysregulation of angiogenic factors and causes fetal rejection and growth restriction. *J.Exp.Med* 2006;203:2165–2175. [PubMed: 16923853]
27. Savvidou MD, Yu CK, Harland LC, Hingorani AD, Nicolaidis KH. Maternal serum concentration of soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 and vascular endothelial growth factor in women with abnormal uterine artery Doppler and in those with fetal growth restriction. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 2006;195:1668–1673. [PubMed: 16643817]
28. Richani K, Soto E, Romero R, Espinoza J, Chaiworapongsa T, Nien JK, Edwin S, Kim YM, Hong J, Goncalves L, et al. Preeclampsia and SGA differ in the maternal plasma complement split products profile. *J.Soc.Gynecol.Investig* 2005;12
29. Wallner W, Sengenberger R, Strick R, Strissel PL, Meurer B, Beckmann MW, Schlembach D. Angiogenic growth factors in maternal and fetal serum in pregnancies complicated by intrauterine growth restriction. *Clin.Sci.(Lond)* 2007;112:51–57. [PubMed: 16928195]
30. Chaiworapongsa T, Romero R, Gotsch F, Espinoza J, Nien JK, Goncalves L, Edwin S, Kim YM, Erez O, Kusanovic JP, et al. Low maternal concentrations of soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 in preeclampsia and small for gestational age. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2008;21:41–52. [PubMed: 18175243]
31. Chaiworapongsa T, Espinoza J, Gotsch F, Kim YM, Kim GJ, Goncalves LF, Edwin S, Kusanovic JP, Erez O, Than NG, et al. The maternal plasma soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 concentration is elevated in SGA and the magnitude of the increase relates to Doppler abnormalities in the maternal and fetal circulation. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2008;21:25–40. [PubMed: 18175242]
32. Di Renzo GC. The role of an ‘anti-angiogenic state’ in complications of pregnancy. *J.Matern.Fetal Neonatal Med* 2008;21:3–7. [PubMed: 18175240]

33. Audibert F, Benchimol Y, Benattar C, Champagne C, Frydman R. Prediction of preeclampsia or intrauterine growth restriction by second trimester serum screening and uterine Doppler velocimetry. *Fetal Diagn. Ther* 2005;20:48–53. [PubMed: 15608460]
34. Muller PR, James AH, Murtha AP, Yonish B, Jamison MG, Dekker G. Circulating angiogenic factors and abnormal uterine artery Doppler velocimetry in the second trimester. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 2006;25:183–192. [PubMed: 17065039]
35. Stepan H, Unversucht A, Wessel N, Faber R. Predictive value of maternal angiogenic factors in second trimester pregnancies with abnormal uterine perfusion. *Hypertension* 2007;49:818–824. [PubMed: 17261644]
36. Espinoza J, Romero R, Nien JK, Gomez R, Kusanovic JP, Goncalves LF, Medina L, Edwin S, Hassan S, Carstens M, et al. Identification of patients at risk for early onset and/or severe preeclampsia with the use of uterine artery Doppler velocimetry and placental growth factor. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 2007;196:326–13. [PubMed: 17403407]
37. Vatten LJ, Eskild A, Nilsen TI, Jeansson S, Jenum PA, Staff AC. Changes in circulating level of angiogenic factors from the first to second trimester as predictors of preeclampsia. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 2007;196:239–6. [PubMed: 17346536]
38. Rana S, Karumanchi SA, Levine RJ, Venkatesha S, Rauh-Hain JA, Tamez H, Thadhani R. Sequential changes in antiangiogenic factors in early pregnancy and risk of developing preeclampsia. *Hypertension* 2007;50:137–142. [PubMed: 17515455]
39. Clark DE, Smith SK, He Y, Day KA, Licence DR, Corps AN, Lammoglia R, Charnock-Jones DS. A vascular endothelial growth factor antagonist is produced by the human placenta and released into the maternal circulation. *Biol.Reprod* 1998;59:1540–1548. [PubMed: 9828203]
40. Nagamatsu T, Fujii T, Kusumi M, Zou L, Yamashita T, Osuga Y, Momoeda M, Kozuma S, Taketani Y. Cytotrophoblasts up-regulate soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 expression under reduced oxygen: an implication for the placental vascular development and the pathophysiology of preeclampsia. *Endocrinology* 2004;145:4838–4845. [PubMed: 15284201]
41. Shore VH, Wang TH, Wang CL, Torry RJ, Caudle MR, Torry DS. Vascular endothelial growth factor, placenta growth factor and their receptors in isolated human trophoblast. *Placenta* 1997;18:657–665. [PubMed: 9364601]
42. St Jacques S, Forte M, Lye SJ, Letarte M. Localization of endoglin, a transforming growth factor-beta binding protein, and of CD44 and integrins in placenta during the first trimester of pregnancy. *Biol.Reprod* 1994;51:405–413. [PubMed: 7528549]
43. Khaliq A, Li XF, Shams M, Sisi P, Acevedo CA, Whittle MJ, Weich H, Ahmed A. Localisation of placenta growth factor (PlGF) in human term placenta. *Growth Factors* 1996;13:243–50. color. [PubMed: 8919031]
44. Dagdeviren A, Muftuoglu SF, Cakar AN, Ors U. Endoglin (CD 105) expression in human lymphoid organs and placenta. *Ann.Anat* 1998;180:461–469. [PubMed: 9795698]
45. Gonzalez RP, Gomez RM, Castro RS, Nien JK, Merino PO, Etchegaray AB, Carstens MR, Medina LH, Viviani PG, Rojas IT. A national birth weight distribution curve according to gestational age in Chile from 1993 to 2000. *Rev.Med.Chil* 2004;132:1155–1165. [PubMed: 15631202]
46. Report of the National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Pregnancy. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 2000;183:S1–S22.
47. ACOG practice bulletin. Diagnosis and management of preeclampsia and eclampsia. Number 33, January 2002. *Obstet.Gynecol* 2002;99:159–167. [PubMed: 16175681]
48. Eskenazi B, Fenster L, Sidney S. A multivariate analysis of risk factors for preeclampsia. *JAMA* 1991;266:237–241. [PubMed: 2056625]
49. Bobrowski RA, Bottoms SF. Underappreciated risks of the elderly multipara. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 1995;172:1764–1767. [PubMed: 7778630]
50. Sibai BM, Gordon T, Thom E, Caritis SN, Klebanoff M, McNellis D, Paul RH, The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Network of Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units. Risk factors for preeclampsia in healthy nulliparous women: a prospective multicenter study. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 1995;172:642–648. [PubMed: 7856699]
51. Caritis S, Sibai B, Hauth J, Lindheimer M, VanDorsten P, Klebanoff M, Thom E, Landon M, Paul R, Miodovnik M, et al. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Network of

- Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units. Predictors of pre-eclampsia in women at high risk. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol* 1998;179:946–951. [PubMed: 9790376]
52. Odegard RA, Vatten LJ, Nilsen ST, Salvesen KA, Austgulen R. Risk factors and clinical manifestations of pre-eclampsia. *BJOG* 2000;107:1410–1416. [PubMed: 11117771]
53. O'Brien TE, Ray JG, Chan WS. Maternal body mass index and the risk of preeclampsia: a systematic overview. *Epidemiology* 2003;14:368–374. [PubMed: 12859040]
54. Bodnar LM, Ness RB, Markovic N, Roberts JM. The risk of preeclampsia rises with increasing prepregnancy body mass index. *Ann.Epidemiol* 2005;15:475–482. [PubMed: 16029839]
55. Doherty DA, Magann EF, Francis J, Morrison JC, Newnham JP. Pre-pregnancy body mass index and pregnancy outcomes. *Int.J.Gynaecol.Obstet* 2006;95:242–247. [PubMed: 17007857]
56. Bodnar LM, Catov JM, Klebanoff MA, Ness RB, Roberts JM. Prepregnancy body mass index and the occurrence of severe hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *Epidemiology* 2007;18:234–239. [PubMed: 17237733]
57. Romero R, Nien JK, Espinoza J, Todem D, Fu W, Chung H, Kusanovic JP, Gotsch F, Erez O, Mazaki-Tovi S, et al. A longitudinal study of angiogenic (placental growth factor) and anti-angiogenic (soluble endoglin and soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1) factors in normal pregnancy and patients destined to develop preeclampsia and deliver a small for gestational age neonate. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2008;21:9–23. [PubMed: 18175241]

**Table I**

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study groups

	Normal Pregnancy (n=201)	SGA (n=145)	Preterm preeclampsia (n=17)	Term preeclampsia (n=39)
<b>Maternal Age (years)</b>	24 (21-29)	24 (21-30)	25 (21-32)	22 (19-26)
<b>Maternal height (cm)</b>	156 (153-160)	154 (151-159) <sup>a</sup>	157 (154-163)	158 (153-163)
<b>Pre-pregnancy weight (kg)</b>	58 (52-67)	55 (50-65)	65 (59-78) <sup>b</sup>	62 (56-73) <sup>b</sup>
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	23.8 (21.2-26.9)	23.4 (20.5-27)	25.6 (23.6-30.5) <sup>b</sup>	25 (23.4-27.8)
<b>Nulliparity (%)</b>	49.3 (99/201)	52.4 (76/145)	52.9 (9/17)	33.3 (13/39)
<b>GA at first sample (weeks)</b>	12.2 (11-13.4)	12.0 (10.7-13.7)	11.6 (9.9-13.5)	12 (10-12.7)
<b>GA at second sample (weeks)</b>	22.3 (21.1-23.7)	22.7 (21.3-23.9)	23.4 (21.9-23.9)	22.5 (21.3-23.6)
<b>GA at delivery (weeks)</b>	39.7 (38.9-40.3) <sup>c</sup>	39.4 (38.6-40.1) <sup>c</sup>	34.7 (31.0-36.3)	39 (38.1-39.6) <sup>c</sup>
<b>Smoking (%)</b>	8 (16/201)	10.3 (15/145)	0	7.7 (3/39)
<b>Birthweight (grams)</b>	3400 (3180-3655) <sup>b,c,d</sup>	2780 (2560-2900) <sup>a,c,d</sup>	1940 (1175-2470) <sup>a,b,d</sup>	3270 (2820-3550) <sup>a,b,c</sup>
<b>SGA neonate (%)</b>	0 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	100 (145/145) <sup>a,c,d</sup>	35.3 (6/17) <sup>a,b</sup>	25.6 (10/39) <sup>a,b</sup>

Data expressed as median (interquartile range) and percentage (proportion).

**BMI:** body mass index; **GA:** gestational age, **SGA:** small for gestational age.<sup>a</sup>Significantly different from normal pregnancy<sup>b</sup>Significantly different from SGA<sup>c</sup>Significantly different from preterm preeclampsia<sup>d</sup>Significantly different from term preeclampsia

**Table II**

Median maternal plasma concentrations of PIGF, soluble endoglin, and sVEGFR-1 by study group and study visit

	Normal Pregnancy (n=201)	SGA (n=145)	Preterm preeclampsia (n=17)	Term preeclampsia (n=39)
<b>PIGF (pg/mL) 1<sup>st</sup> trimester</b>	35.4 (20.5-53.5)	30 (18.3-51.9)	20.3 (0-34.1)	26.2 (13.6-37.8)
<b>PIGF (pg/mL) 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester</b>	344.8 (217.5-465.3)	320.4 (207.9-468.1)	126.3 (45.7-286.3)	273.4 (175.2-385.8)
<b>s-Eng (ng/mL) 1<sup>st</sup> trimester</b>	7.2 (6.3-8.3)	7.1 (6.1-8.3)	8 (5.8-8.8)	7.3 (6.3-9.2)
<b>s-Eng (ng/mL) 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester</b>	5.9 (5.1-6.8)	6 (5.2-7.5)	8 (6.7-15.1)	6.5 (5.6-7.2)
<b>sVEGFR-1 (pg/mL) 1<sup>st</sup> trimester</b>	1788 (1330.5-2398.6)	1615.8 (1307-2294.9)	1307.7 (1107.2-1760.3)	1448 (1175.4-1962.4)
<b>sVEGFR-1 (pg/mL) 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester</b>	1799.5 (1098.6-2571.7)	1687 (1200.9-2513.2)	1946.3 (1324.7-4266.3)	1532.3 (956.3-2007.4)

Data expressed as median (interquartile range).

**PIGF:** placental growth factor; **s-Eng:** soluble endoglin; **sVEGFR-1:** soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1; **SGA:** small for gestational age.

Table III

Polytomous logistic regression model for the estimation of the association between PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 plasma concentrations, ratios and product with the subsequent development of preeclampsia and delivery of an SGA neonate

	Preterm preeclampsia*		Term preeclampsia		SGA		P value	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI		
PIGF	1 <sup>st</sup> trimester	0.963	0.936-0.991	0.978	0.961-0.995	0.990	0.980-0.9996	0.0042
	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester	0.988	0.982-0.994	0.998	0.995-1.0005	0.999	0.998-1.0005	0.0007
s-Eng	1 <sup>st</sup> trimester	1.060	0.752-1.496	1.241	0.996-1.547	0.943	0.817-1.089	0.1394
	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester	3.211	1.784-5.781	1.474	1.115-1.948	1.107	0.933-1.314	0.0002
sVEGFR-1	1 <sup>st</sup> trimester	0.999	0.998-1.000	0.999	0.999-1.000	1.000	0.999-1.000	0.0326
	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester	1.000	0.999-1.001	1.000	0.999-1.000	1.000	1.000-1.000	0.3097
PIGF / s-Eng	1 <sup>st</sup> trimester*	0.307	0.115-0.815	0.481	0.254-0.911	0.812	0.554-1.191	0.0231
	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester*	0.042	0.009-0.206	0.485	0.221-1.064	0.576	0.361-0.919	0.0002
PIGF / sVEGFR-1	1 <sup>st</sup> trimester*	0.575	0.248-1.334	0.792	0.459-1.368	0.971	0.692-1.362	0.5361
	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester*	0.254	0.091-0.705	0.920	0.551-1.537	0.932	0.682-1.275	0.0740
s-Eng x sVEGFR-1	1 <sup>st</sup> trimester*	0.295	0.079-1.106	0.582	0.275-1.231	0.675	0.437-1.041	0.0867
	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester*	0.773	0.218-2.740	0.921	0.469-1.808	1.016	0.665-1.551	0.9719

The polytomous logistic regressions were adjusted for maternal age, BMI and nulliparity. Odds ratio calculation is based on polytomous logistic regression, women with normal pregnancy serve as reference.

**PIGF**: placental growth factor; **s-Eng**: soluble endoglin; **sVEGFR-1**: soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1; **SGA**: small for gestational age; **BMI**- body mass index

Odds ratios for association of the slopes of PIGF, s-Eng, and sVEGFR-1 maternal plasma concentrations between the first and second trimesters with the subsequent development of preterm PE, term PE, and delivery of an SGA neonate

**Table IV**

	Normal Pregnancy		Preterm preeclampsia		Term preeclampsia		SGA			
	n	OR	95%CI	n	OR	95%CI	n	OR	95%CI	
<b>PIGF slope between the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> trimesters</b>										
<b>Below median</b>	100	14	4.28	1.18-15.45	29	2.70	1.24-5.89	86	1.54	0.99-2.38
<b>Above median</b>	101	3	1	Reference	10	1	Reference	59	1	Reference
s-Eng direction of change between the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> trimesters										
<b>≤0</b>	185	7	1	Reference	33	1	Reference	117	1	Reference
<b>&gt;0</b>	16	10	14.92	4.94-45.08	6	2.01	0.7-5.61	28	2.88	1.48-5.58
sVEGFR-1 direction of change between the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> trimesters										
<b>≤0</b>	108	4	1	Reference	19	1	Reference	75	1	Reference
<b>&gt;0</b>	93	13	3.90	1.21-12.59	20	1.14	0.57-2.29	70	1.12	0.73-1.73

Odds ratios are estimated from a polytomous logistic regression model adjusted for BMI, maternal age and nulliparity, with additional adjustment for gestational age. **PIGF**: placental growth factor; **s-Eng**: soluble endoglin; **sVEGFR-1**: soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1; **SGA**: small for gestational age.

Tables V

Odds ratios for association of the slopes of PIGF/sVEGFR-1, PIGF/s-Eng, PIGF/(s-Eng x sVEGFR-1) ratios and the s-Eng x sVEGFR-1 product between the first and second trimesters with the subsequent development of preterm PE, term PE, and delivery of an SGA neonate

	Normal Pregnancy		Preterm preeclampsia		Term preeclampsia		SGA			
	n	n	95%CI	n	OR	95%CI	n	OR	95%CI	
PIGF/s-Eng slope between the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> trimesters										
Below median	101	15	7.68	1.7-34.74	28	2.46	1.15-5.26	86	1.47	0.95-2.26
Above median	100	2	1	Reference	11	1	Reference	59	1	Reference
PIGF/sVEGFR-1 slope between the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> trimesters										
Below median	101	13	3.28	1.02-10.59	19	0.83	0.41-1.67	76	1.13	0.73-1.74
Above median	100	4	1	Reference	20	1	Reference	69	1	Reference
PIGF/(s-Eng x sVEGFR-1) slope between the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> trimesters										
Below median	100	13	3.71	1.14-12.11	22	1.20	0.59-2.45	71	0.99	0.64-1.53
Above median	101	4	1	Reference	17	1	Reference	74	1	Reference
s-Eng x sVEGFR-1 direction of change between the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> trimesters										
≤0	151	4	1	Reference	28	1	Reference	95	1	Reference
>0	50	13	10.38	3.18-33.84	11	1.15	0.53-2.50	50	1.62	1.01-2.60

Odds ratios are estimated from a polytomous logistic regression model adjusted for BMI, maternal age and nulliparity, with additional adjustment for gestational age.

**PIGF**: placental growth factor; **s-Eng**: soluble endoglin; **sVEGFR-1**: soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1; **SGA**: small for gestational age.